

Estudios Geológicos  
julio-diciembre 2018, 74(2), e080  
ISSN-L: 0367-0449  
<https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.43201.499>

## First record of *Equus neogeus* from Abaucán River (Catamarca, Argentina)

### *Primer registro de Equus neogeus en río Abaucán (Catamarca, Argentina)*

M.E. Garcia<sup>1</sup>, R. Bonini<sup>2</sup>, M.T. Alberdi<sup>3</sup>, J.L. Prado<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dirección provincial de Antropología de Catamarca. Av. México s/n, 4700 San Fernando del Valle de Catamarca, Argentina. Email: [lula\\_g@live.com](mailto:lula_g@live.com). ORCID ID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6871-573X>

<sup>2</sup>INCUAPA, CONICET-UNICEN, Del Valle 5737, 7400 Olavarría, Argentina. Email: [jprado@soc.unicen.edu.ar](mailto:jprado@soc.unicen.edu.ar), [ricardo.bonin@soc.unicen.edu.ar](mailto:ricardo.bonin@soc.unicen.edu.ar). ORCID ID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1402-6530>, <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0579-8747>

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Paleobiología. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), Madrid, España. Email: [malberdi@mncn.csic.es](mailto:malberdi@mncn.csic.es). ORCID ID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7071-360X>

## ABSTRACT

The fossil vertebrate record in Catamarca province is widely recognized in the Neogene deposits, but the quaternary mammal records are poorly represented. Here, we describe remains of fossil horses from Pleistocene sediments outcropping in the Abaucán River in the locality of Tinogasta. A comparative study was made with the known record of these groups of mammals in South America, identifying the remains as *Equus neogeus*, which constitutes the first records of this species for the Late Pleistocene of Catamarca (Argentina). These data increase the record of Equidae in South America and provide new evidence about the chronological and geographical distribution. *Equus neogeus* is the largest and slenderest morphotype of the South American horses, and occurs in eastern South America, but does not recorded outside of lowland Argentina, Uruguay, and Brazil. This is the first record in the higher altitude regions. In addition, the lithostratigraphic context was analyzed, allowing paleoecological considerations. The chronostratigraphic context recognize that the carrier levels are referable to the Lujanian Age.

**Keywords:** Equidae; Paleoecology; late Pleistocene; Catamarca Province; Argentina.

## RESUMEN

En el presente trabajo se describen restos de caballos del Pleistoceno procedentes de afloramientos en el río Abaucán de la localidad de Tinogasta (Catamarca). El estudio comparativo se realizó con los registros conocidos de este grupo de mamíferos en América del Sur y se identificó como *Equus neogeus*. Es el primer registro de esta especie en el Pleistoceno tardío de Catamarca (Argentina). Estos datos representan un nuevo registro de Equidae en América del Sur y aportan nuevas evidencias sobre su cronología y distribución geográfica. *Equus neogeus* es el caballo más grande y más grácil de los de América del Sur y se encuentra en su zona más oriental. Hasta ahora no se habían registrado fuera de las tierras bajas de Argentina, Uruguay y Brasil. Este es el primer registro en regiones más altas altitudinalmente. Además, el contexto litoestratigráfico permite obtener consideraciones paleoecológicas. Desde el punto de vista cronoestratigráfico los niveles fosilíferos se refieren a la edad Lujaniense.

**Palabras clave:** Equidae; Paleoecología; Pleistoceno superior; provincia de Catamarca; Argentina.

---

Recibido el 11 de abril de 2018 / Aceptado el 24 de agosto de 2018 / Publicado online el 5 de octubre de 2018

**Citation / Cómo citar este artículo:** Garcia, M.E. et al. (2018). First record of *Equus neogeus* from Abaucán River (Catamarca, Argentina). Estudios Geológicos 74(2): e080. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.43201.499>.

**Copyright:** © 2018 CSIC. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non 4.0 International License.

## Introduction

The stratigraphic records of Neogene sedimentary basins in northwestern Argentina have traditionally been the source of great amounts of information regarding the structural and tectonic evolution of the Andes (Reynolds *et al.*, 2000; Irigoyen *et al.*, 2000). These Neogene sequences have made the Catamarca province one of the best-dated terrestrial sequences in South America. This stratigraphic approach combined with geophysical methods has established a tectonic segmentation of the foreland which corresponds with segmentation of the subducted Nazca plate (Jordan & Gardeweg, 1989). For these sedimentary sequences we have one of the extensive and better-known records of Vertebrates fossil from Argentina (Riggs & Patterson, 1939; Cabrera, 1944; Marshall & Patterson, 1981; Esteban & Nasif, 1996, 1999; Bonini, 2014; Esteban *et al.*, 2014; Bonini & Brandoni, 2015). On the contrary, the mammal records in Pleistocene sediments are very scarce. The few known records are mainly associated with remains found in archaeological sites referring to the Late Pleistocene. Martínez *et al.* (2004, 2007, 2010) and Martínez (2014) mention the presence of *Hippidion* and one Megatherinae indet. in sediments dated between 13,350 to 12,510 years BP in high archaeological sites in Antofagasta de la Sierra.

Horses are one of the best known of fossil mammal recorded in South America. This group is originated in North America during the Eocene, where a great radiation is evidenced during Neogene (Cantalapiedra *et al.*, 2017). The first fossil of horses in South America was founded by Darwin in Argentina (Owen, 1840). Since this publication, notes and articles proliferated, which in most cases do not reflect the global diversity of this group. The recent papers of Equidae in South America (Alberdi, 1987; Alberdi & Prado, 1992, 1993, 2004; Prado & Alberdi, 1994, 1996, 2012; Alberdi *et al.*, 1989, 2001a, b, 2003; Prado *et al.*, 1987, 1998, 2000, 2005, 2013a, b; among others) distinguish two genera: *Equus* and *Hippidion*. Each genus has specific dental morphology, with a clear intraspecific variability. *Hippidion* has a more primitive morphology than *Equus*, and its body structure is most robust (Prado, 1984; Alberdi, 1987; Alberdi *et al.*, 1986, 1987; Prado & Alberdi, 1994, 2014, 2016; Der

Sarkissian *et al.*, 2015, among others). The earliest appears of *Equus* in South America record correspond to the middle Pleistocene of Tarija (Bolivia), dated by MacFadden *et al.* (1983) and MacFadden (2013) around 0.99 to 0.76 Ma. Many articles have been published to arrange the knowledge of the *Equus* species in South America (Machado *et al.*, 2017). Prado & Alberdi (2017) reviewed this group and recognized three valid species: *Equus andium* Branco, 1883, ex Wagner (1860), *Equus insulatus* Ameghino, 1904, and *Equus neogeus* Lund, 1840.

In this context, the main objective of this work is to present the results obtained from the study carried out on fossils of horses deposited in the collection of the Anthropology Direction of the Catamarca province. A comparative analysis will be carried out with specimens from other locations in South America. In this way, the new records and biogeographic data presented in this research allow us to contribute about the distribution of equids in the mountain range.

## Stratigraphic and chronological context

Fossils equids described in this contribution came from a lens of towing materials belonging to the sedimentary basin of the Abaucán River in the town of Tinogasta, Catamarca. This basin is located into the geological province denominated Northwestern Pampean Ranges. The regional tectonics is conditioned for inverse type faulting due to the action of compressive forces (Pinotti *et al.*, 2010). Intermountain sectors are mainly covered by sandy, limolitic and tuffaceous tertiary sediments, represented by some scattered outcrops together with quaternary sediments. Pleistocene outcrops are represented by alluvial sediments and conglomerates which constitutes the low sectors.

The regional stratigraphy sequence is composed of Creston and Vinchina Formations at the base and recent and sub-recent piedmontane deposits to the top. Creston Formation is represented by normal stratified sequences of red-brown sub-rounded conglomerates and sandstones with limestones and gypsum nodules. Red-brown limestones with laminar stratification and fines and compact interbedded sandstones. This unit was interpreted as a whole as fluvial deposits (Fauque & Caminos, 2006). Creston Formation lacks

fossils and was correlated with several units assigning it without distinction to Mesozoic and Cenozoic (Fauque & Caminos, 2006). Vinchina Formation consists of a powerful succession of red banks composed by sandstones, claystones, conglomerates and very scarce tuff (Turner, 1964). Posteriorly, Ramos (1970) separated this unit in two members. Lower member represented by fines to medium red-brown sandstones with cross and ripples stratification of the fluvial origin. The upper member is characterized by the presence of red light to yellowish volcanic sediments of absent in the lower. Since point of view of the tectosedimentary and paleoenvironmental evolution, Tripaldi *et al.* (2001) suggest that its sediments were deposited in an Andean foreland basin developed in the Miocene, between Northwestern Pampean Ranges and Precordillera. Respect to the age of Vinchina Formation, Bonaparte (1965) assigned this unit to late Miocene-early Pliocene from the find ichnofossils, whereas Ciccioli *et al.* (2014) propose that the bulk

of the Vinchina Formation is Miocene in age, they do not preclude a longer time span for the sedimentation of the whole unit. Quaternary sequences are represented by recent and sub-recent piedmontane deposits. These units are characterized by unconsolidated paraconglomerates (matrix-supported), with sandy matrix and sabulite lenses with lime-clay matrix deposited over of piedmontanes.

The place of the finding is completely altered by anthropic activity, since it is located two blocks from the central square of the city of Tinogasta ( $28^{\circ} 3' S / 67^{\circ} 34' W$ ; 1214 MASL; fig. 1). The site reflects the lithology of the area, composed of ancient piedmontane deposits with conglomerates and unconsolidated sandstones of the Upper Pleistocene. The profile presented in Figure 2 illustrates the sedimentary succession exposed on sequences outcropping along the Abaucán River. The neotectonic processes affect the deposition of these sediments. Fauqué & Caminos (2006) consider that the subdevelopment




Fig. 1.—Location map of the fossiliferous localities. 1: to the right above, the central sector of the Balcosna-San Ignacio basin; 2: right below, sketch of the city of Tinogasta.






Fig. 2.—Profile representing the sedimentary succession exposed on sequences outcropping along of the Abaucán River (Catamarca).

piedmontane deposits of the basin are composed of little consolidated elastic sediments composed by paraconglomerates (matrix-supported) of sub-rounded to poorly selected sub-rounded edges, which often include large blocks. The matrix is sandy, with some sort of order that indicates a tractive transport. Gravel lenses are interspersed with a finer matrix, silt-clayey, which indicates the presence of dense flows of the debris flow type. In some cases, a thick stratification is observed with a primary inclination towards the center of the basin.

## Material and method

The studied fossil remains are deposited in the collection of the Anthropology Direction of the Catamarca province, and correspond to the following specimens: DPA-Pv-01 (06) a: distal fragment of left scapula; DPA-Pv-01 (06) b: proximal fragment of left scapula (fig. 3); DPA-Pv-01 (2 to 6): P2-M1 upper right series and DPA-Pv-01 (1): isolated canine (fig. 4).

For determination of the remains we used morphological characters of the upper molars

(P3-4 and M1). However, for morphometric and comparative analysis, we used the remains from other South American horses described by Prado & Alberdi (1994, 2008, 2012), Alberdi & Frassinetti (2000), Alberdi *et al.* (2003), Alberdi & Prado (2004), Prado *et al.* (2005), and Rincón *et al.* (2006). Because we only have upper teeth we have used their dimensions on the surface and at 1 cm from its base (length and width) and length of the protocone in surface, to elaborate a multivariate analysis. We used a matrix with 22 P3-P4 and 64 M1 for made the discriminant analysis (DA). The *Equus* species have been identified in previous paper (Prado & Alberdi, 1994; Alberdi *et al.*, 2003; Alberdi & Prado, 2004; Prado *et al.*, 2005; among other) using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and DA was used to establish a rule for differentiating among these groups (fig. 5). The nomenclature and measurements are based on the recommendations and rules elaborated by the “*Hipparion* Conference” (Eisenmann *et al.*, 1988). All dimensions are expressed in millimeters. We have used South American Land Mammal Ages (SALMA) proposed by Pascual *et al.* (1996) to establish the chronological positions of the horse




Fig. 3.—DPA-Pv-01 (6). Left scapula in lateral view, where the following bone accidents are observed: a. DPA-Pv-01 (6) a, distal fragment of left scapula; b. DPA-Pv-01 (6) b, proximal fragment of the left scapula. Scale bar 2 cm.




Fig. 4.—Top, occlusal view of the dental series belonging to the upper right side, presenting from right to left, below side view respectively: DPA-Pv-01 (1), the second isolated right upper premolar P2; DPA-Pv-01 (2), third upper right premolar isolated P3; DPA-Pv-01 (3), fourth upper right premolar isolated P4; DPA-Pv-01 (4), isolated first upper right molar M1. Scale bar 2 cm.

records. We do not used the biostratigraphic scheme proposed by Cione & Tonni (2005) for Argentina, because is not useful to determine relative chronologies and temporal correlations among distant areas of South America. The SALMAs are stratigraphic units not formally recognized by any stratigraphic code of nomenclature but, as an organizing device, they have proven to be very useful in studies on mammalian stratigraphy and evolution (Savage, 1962; Simpson, 1971), and in establishing intracontinental and intercontinental correlations (Pascual *et al.*, 1996).

### Systematic paleontology

Order PERISSODACTYLA Owen, 1848  
Family EQUIDAE Gray, 1821

Subfamily EQUINAE Gray, 1821  
Tribe EQUINI Gray, 1821  
Subtribe PLIOHIPPIA Prado & Alberdi, 1996  
*Equus neogeus* Lund, 1840

*Synonymy*: see Prado & Alberdi (2017)

*Holotype*: Right metacarpal III, number 866, stored in Zoologisk Museum, Peter W. Lund Collection, Copenhagen, Denmark.

*Geographic distribution*: Main remains came from the Pampean region, Argentina (Prado & Alberdi, 1994; Alberdi *et al.*, 2003; Alberdi & Prado 2004; Prado *et al.*, 2005; among other); others from Lagoa Santa (Lund, 1840), Corumba (Cunha, 1981), Sao Raimundo Nonato, Piaui (Guérin, 1991), Chique-Chique and Aguas do Araxa (Paula Couto,




Fig. 5.—Discriminant analysis of the P3-P4 (above) and M1 (below) of different *Equus* species from South America. The specimens from Abaucán River is marked with an asterisk. Symbols: +, Centroids; □, *Equus neogeus*; ○, *Equus insulatus*; ◇, *Equus andium*.

1979), and Cota dos Ossos (Alberdi *et al.*, 2003) in Brazil; and Arapey Grande creek and Sopas Creek in the Sopas Formation (Ubilla & Martínez, 2016),

Uruguay; Cerro Gordo (Porta, 1960) and Tibitó (Correal Urrego, 1981), Colombia.

**Stratigraphic distribution:** Late Pleistocene of Buenos Aires province, Argentina, Brazil, Colombia and Uruguay. Porta (1960) correlated Cerro Gordo (Colombia) with the Punian in Ecuador (*sensu* Hoffstetter, 1952).

**Type level:** Lujanian, South American Land Mammal Age (SALMA).

**Diagnosis:** *Equus* from South America has a large skull with sharp and marked supraoccipital crest. It is large in relation to the postcranial skeleton. In general, there is a ventral separation of the occipital condyles but sometimes they are joined. It has a peculiar vomer disposition, which reaches the palatal processes of the maxillary anterior to the palatine. Upper cheek teeth contain widely developed fossettes and the enamel line is something wrinkled. The length of upper and lower row is longer than *Equus andium* and *Equus insulatus*. The upper cheek teeth have triangular protocone. The protocone shows the distal part longer than the mesial one, and in some cases there are enamel wrinkles. The mandible is robust and the double-knot in the lower teeth, the metacoinid-metastylid, is rounded and angular respectively. The linguaflexid is, in general, shallow and large in U shape. The ectoflexid varies from deep to shallow and sometimes connects with the linguaflexid overall in molars. *Equus neogeus* is largest species of *Equus* in South America and its extremities the most slender.

**Description:** The recovered fragments of the scapula are poorly preserved. The glenoid cavity is observed without conserving the coracoid process, the adjacent fragment is reconstructed and the scapular spine can be distinguished well. The upper right series, P2 to M1, well preserved and correspond to an adult young individual (with a crown height of 75 mm on average) (table 1). The teeth have a thick layer of cement (2 mm). The length of the dental series from P2 to M1 is 117.6 mm (the series premolar P2-P4 = 92.3), which indicates that they are part of an individual of large size. The morphology of the teeth is typical of *Equus*, with subtriangular protocone, horse fold, the oval hypocone more or less strangled; the styles in the premolar series are wide and in the M1 is narrow (fig. 4).

The dimensions and characters of the teeth are detailed in Table 1. The plis pre- and post fossettes

Table 1.—Table of measurements in millimeters of *Equus neogeus* from Tinogasta, Catamarca, following the nomenclature and recommendations of the “*Hipparion* Conference” (Eisenmann *et al.*, 1988). Ls: mesio-distal length of the surface; Bs: bucco-lingual breadth on the surface; Lb: mesio-distal length at 1 cm from base; Bb: bucco-lingual breadth at 1 cm from base; H: tooth height; LPr: mesio-distal length of protocone in surface; FPr: Protocone shape; PlsFos: folds of the pits; APre: previous prefossette; DPre: distal prefossette; APost: previous postfossette; DPost: Distal postfossette.

Collection number	tooth	Ls	Bs	Lb	Bb	H	LPr	PrS	APre	Dpre	Apost	Dpost
DPA-Pv-01	P2 right	35.48	25.23	32.58	21.96	53.66				3	2	
DPA-Pv-01	P3 right	29.87	28.85	25.12	24.76	67.45	12.0	Tri	4	6	2	1
DPA-Pv-01	P4 right	28.35	29.02	24.12	26.66	77.0	12.0	Tri	2	4	3	1
DPA-Pv-01	M1 right	25.13	27.25	22.41	23.25	63.99	11.0	Tri	1	3	1	1

are present in all the specimens, more marked in the P3, which shows greater folding, indicating that there was no advanced wear, since the intensity of these loops decreases with wear, coming to disappear. The shape of the protocone is subtriangular, characteristic of caballin equids (fig. 4). The hypocone shape is oval-elongated and more or less open depending to the degree of wear. The hypoconal groove well marked depending on the degree of wear and more pronounced than the hypoconal constriction on premolars. M1 is very open and hypoconal groove is practically lost and hypoconal constriction in a notch. The pli caballine is well marked in premolars and reduced in M1.

## Results

DA of the three species of *Equus* provided a correct identification especially from the P3-4 and M1-2 samples (Prado & Alberdi, 2017). The teeth of Abaucán River are placed with *Equus neogeus* clearly separated from the other species of *Equus*. Results of DA indicate that among the analyzed P3 and P4 were correctly identified in origin 86.4% of cases, and with cross validation in 77.3% of cases; while M1 tooth in 73.4% of cases and 64.1% of cases, respectively (fig. 5). The morphology and the size of the teeth (P3, P4 and M1) of Abaucán River are very close to *Equus neogeus*. In the case of DA, these teeth are grouped together with the known remains of *Equus neogeus* (fig. 5).

## Discussion

The molecular dating suggests that *Hippidion* split from *Equus* at 5.6–6.5 Ma (Orlando *et al.*, 2009; Der Sarkissian *et al.*, 2015), suggesting an

early divergence in North America prior to the colonization of South America, after the formation of the Panamanian Isthmus 2.8 Ma and the Great American Biotic Interchange (GABI, O’Dea *et al.*, 2017). The paleontological evidence of the dispersion of horses into South America shows different patterns. According to Alberdi & Prado (2004), *Hippidion devillei* was the first species of the Equini recorded in South America. It is found in land-mammal bearing sediments of the Uquian SALMA (Middle to Late Pliocene), in northern Argentina (Prado *et al.*, 1998). *Hippidion principale* was recorded for the first time in sediments of the Ensenadan SALMA (Early Pleistocene) in Bolivia. *Hippidion saldiasii* was recorded in sediments of the Lujanian SALMA (Late Pleistocene) in Patagonia (Alberdi & Prado, 2004). In the case of *Equus*, two species (*Equus andium*, and *Equus insulatus*) were recorded in western South America, while *Equus neogeus*, was recorded in eastern South America. This distribution could be correlated with the two inter-American savannah corridors through South America: the high Andean route and the low eastern route (Prado & Alberdi, 2016).

According to MacFadden (2013), the dispersal of *Equus* into South America represents an important event in the historical biogeography of Pleistocene mammals on that continent (MacFadden, 2013). So far as the biochronology is known, most of these occurrences are Late Pleistocene, with one significant exception from the Tarija basin of Bolivia were recorded *Equus insulatus* (occur between 0.99 to 0.76 Ma).

In Argentina dispersal of *Equus* occurred during the Late Pleistocene, and it defines the base of the Lujanian SALMA (*sensu* Pascual *et al.*, 1996). The record of *Equus* diminished from North to South,



particularly during the Latest Pleistocene. Unlike *Hippidion* that registers in high latitudes, *Equus* does not present records in Patagonia. The southernmost record is in the El Polvorín limestone Quarry in Calera Avellaneda (Olavarría, Buenos Aires province), at approximately 37° South Latitude (Prado *et al.*, 2013b). The unequivocal presence of *Equus* at Tarija starting at 0.99 Ma calls into question the use of this genus as an index fossil for the Lujanian SALMA.

Nevertheless, if *Equus* is restricted to the Pampean species *Equus neogeus*, then the use of this latter taxon still can be used as an index fossil for the Lujanian Stage within the Pampean region. It is clear that, the Lujanian Stage or Biozone of *Equus neogeus* defined by Cione & Tonni (1999, 2005) does not correspond to the Lujanian SALMA of Pascual *et al.* (1965, 1996). Recently, Toledo (2014, 2017) questioned this biozone because recorded *Equus neogeus* in deposit dated around 150 and 200 ky BP from northwest Buenos Aires province. Most Lujanian vertebrates of the Buenos Aires province were found in flood plain sediments of the Guerrero Member of the Luján Formation. This unit was deposited during the interval between ca. 21 and 11 ka BP (Tonni *et al.*, 2003), during which several climatic events took part (LGM, Younger Dryas, among others; see Tonni *et al.*, 2003 and literature therein) that were reflected in the faunal distribution. Before this article, *Equus neogeus* was not registered in the higher altitude regions, which facilitated its use as fossil index in the plains of the pampas. This new data questions its biostratigraphic use outside said region. In Argentina have allowed discussions on the correlation of type sections in the Pampean area with other regions (e.g. Tauber, 2005; Reguero & Candela, 2011). It is necessary to carry out new studies in areas outside the Pampean region to contrast this scheme.

MacFadden (2013) suggest that *Equus neogeus* may have originated independently from a North American sister species within the caballine clade, thus suggesting a second dispersal of *Equus* during GABI 4 at 0.125 Ma. The first corresponded to other species through the Andes corridor. *Equus neogeus* is the largest and most slender morphotype of the South American horses, and occurs in eastern South America, but does not occur outside of lowland Argentina, Uruguay, and Brazil. This

species preferred savannas and consequently would have been better adapted to open and arid landscapes (Prado & Alberdi, 1994). However, if one considers the place of the deposit, zone of valleys of height (1214 MASL) and cold climates, it should not be ruled out the possibility that this species also adapted to valleys of height with xerophytic vegetation. Luna & Cruz (2014) proposed similar adaptation for record of horses in northeast of the Córdoba province (Argentina).

The *Equus* species has experienced a massive range collapse since the latest Pleistocene. The archaeological record from Argentina suggests that the timing of horses extinction was controlled by a complex interaction between climate changes that precipitated vegetation change, combined with growing human impacts (Villavicencio *et al.*, 2016). Prado *et al.* (2011, 2015) propose that a combination of factors such as diet, habitat preferences, body-mass and physiology would have played an important role in horse extinctions.

## Final remarks

The morphological features of the dental remains of *Equus* from Tinogasta are characteristic of *Equus neogeus*. When compared these data with data known from other locations in South America (the series premolar and multivariate analysis) the teeth studied here are grouped with large forms such as *Equus neogeus*. These data increase the record of Equidae in South America and provide new evidence about their chronological and geographical distribution.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to express many thanks to the Anthropology Direction of the Catamarca province, for to make the studied of the material easy. This work has been made possible thanks to Research Project ANPCYT PICT 2015-1512 to JLP and PICT 2015-0724 to RB; DGICYT CGL2016-79334-P from Spain to MTA; and Grant of the National University of Central Argentina (UNICEN) to JLP and RB.

## References

- Alberdi, M.T. (1987). La Familia Equidae, Gray 1982 (Perissodactyla, Mammalia) en el Pleistoceno de Sudamerica. IV Congreso Latinoamericano de Paleontología, 1: 484–499.



- Alberdi, M.T.; Cartelle, C. & Prado, J.L. (2003). El registro Pleistoceno de *Equus* (*Amerhippus*) e *Hippidion* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) de Brasil. Consideraciones paleoecológicas y biogeográficas. *Ameghiniana*, 40(2): 173–196.
- Alberdi, M.T. & Prado, J.L. (1992). El registro de *Hippidion* Owen, 1869 y *Equus* (*Amerhippus*) Hoffstetter, 1950 (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) en América del Sur. *Ameghiniana*, 29(3): 265–284.
- Alberdi, M.T. & Prado, J.L. (1993). Review of the genus *Hippidion* Owen, 1869 (Mammalia; Perissodactyla) from the Pleistocene of South America. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 108: 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1993.tb02559.x>
- Alberdi, M.T. & Prado, J.L. (2004). Caballos Fósiles de América del Sur. Una historia de tres millones de años. 1ra ed. Buenos Aires: Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires. INCU-APA, serie monográfica, 3: 1–269.
- Alberdi, M.T. & Frassinetti, D. (2000). Presencia de *Hippidion* y *Equus* (*Amerhippus*) (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) y su distribución en el Pleistoceno superior de Chile. *Estudios Geológicos*, 56: 279–290. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.00565-6144>
- Alberdi, M.T.; Fernández, J.; Menegaz, A.N. & Prado, J.L. (1986). *Hippidion* Owen 1869 (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) en sedimentos del Pleistoceno tardío de la localidad Barro Negro (Jujuy, Argentina). *Estudios Geológicos*, 42: 487–493. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.86426780>
- Alberdi, M.T.; Menegaz, A.N. & Prado, J.L. (1987). Formas terminales de *Hippidion* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) de los yacimientos del Pleistoceno Tardío - Holoceno de la Patagonia (Argentina y Chile). *Estudios Geológicos*, 43: 107–115. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.87431-2577>
- Alberdi, M.T.; Menegaz, A.N.; Prado, J.L. & Tonni, E.P. (1989). La Fauna Local De Quequén Salado-Indio Rico (Pleistoceno Tardío) de la provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina. Aspectos Paleoambientales y Biostratigráficos. *Ameghiniana*, 25: 225–236.
- Alberdi, M.T.; Prado, J.L. & Miotti, L. (2001a). *Hippidion saldiasi* Roth, 1899 (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) at the Piedra Museo site (Patagonia): their implication for the Regional Economy and Environmental. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 28: 411–419. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.2000.0647>
- Alberdi, M.T.; Zárate, M. & Prado, J.L. (2001b). Presencia de *Hippidion principale* en los Acantilados Costeros de Mar del Plata (Argentina). *Revista Española de Paleontología*, 16: 1–7.
- Ameghino, F. (1904). Recherches de Morphologie Phylogenetique sur les molaires supérieures des Ongulés. *Anales del Museo Nacional*, 3: 1–541.
- Bonaparte, J.E. (1965). Nuevas ignitas de la Quebrada del Yeso (La Rioja) y reconsideración de la edad de los afloramientos. *Acta Geológica Lilloana*, 7: 5–16.
- Bonini, R. (2014). Bioestratigrafía y diversidad de los mamíferos del Neógeno de San Fernando y Puerta de Corral Quemado (Catamarca, Argentina) [Ph. D. dissertation]: La Plata, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo de La Plata, 366 p.
- Bonini, R. & Brandoni, D. (2015). *Pyramiodontherium Rovereto* (Xenarthra, Tardigrada, Megatheriinae) from the Early Pliocene of San Fernando, Catamarca Province, Argentina. *Ameghiniana*, 52(6): 647–655. <https://doi.org/10.5710/AMGH.16.06.2015.2902>
- Branco, W. (1883). Ueber eine Fossile Säugethier-Fauna von Punin bei Riobamba in Ecuador. II: Beschreibung der Fauna. *Palaeontologische Abhandlungen*, 1: 57–204.
- Cabrera, A. (1944). Los Gliptodontoideos del Araucaniano de Catamarca. *Revista del Museo La Plata*, 3: 5–76.
- Cantalapiedra, J.L.; Prado, J.L.; Hernández Fernández, M. & Alberdi, M.T. (2017). Decoupled ecomorphological evolution and diversification in Neogene-Quaternary horses. *Sciences*, 355: 627–630. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag1772>
- Ciccioli, P.L.; Limarino, C.O.; Friedman, R. & Marenssi, S.A. (2014). New high precision U-Pb ages for the Vinchina Formation: implications for the stratigraphy of the Bermejo Andean foreland basin (La Rioja province, western Argentina). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 56: 200–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2014.09.005>
- Cione, A.L. & Tonni, E.P. (1999). Biostratigraphy and chronological scale of uppermost Cenozoic in the Pampean area, Argentina. En: *Quaternary vertebrate palaeontology in South America* (E.P. Tonni y A.L. Cione eds.). *Quaternary of South America and Antarctic Peninsula*, 12: 23–52.
- Cione, A. L. & Tonni, E. P. (2005). Biostratigrafía basada en mamíferos del Cenozoico superior de la Región Pampeana. En: *Geología y Recursos Minerales de la provincia de Buenos Aires* (R. Barrio, R.O. Etcheverry, M.F. Caballé y E. Llambías eds.). *Relatorio del XV Congreso geológico Argentino*, La Plata, 11: 183–200.
- Correal Urrego, G. (1981). Evidencias Culturales y Megafauna Pleistocénica en Colombia. *Fundación Investigación Arqueológica Nacional*, 12: 1–148.
- Cunha, F.L. (1981). *Equus* (*Amerhippus*) *vandonii* n.sp. um novo cavalo fossil de Corumbá, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil. *Boletín del Museo Nacional Geología*, 40: 1–19.
- Der Sarkissian, C.; Vilstrup, J.T.; Schubert, M.; Seguin-Orlando, A.; Eme, D.; Weinstock, J.; Alberdi, M.T.; Martin, F.; López, P.M.; Prado, J.L.; Prieto, A.; Douady, C.J.; Stafford, T.W.; Willerslev, E. & Orlando, L. (2015). Mitochondrial genomes reveal the extinct *Hippidion* as an outgroup to all living equids. *Biology letters*, 11: 20141058. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.1058>

- Eisenmann, V.; Alberdi, M.T.; De Giuli, C. & Staesche, U. (1988). Collected papers after the "New York International Hipparion Conference, 1981". En: M. Woodbrune y P. Sondaar eds.). Studying fossil horses, 1, Methodology. Leiden: E. J. Brill, pp: 72.
- Esteban, G. & Nasif, N. (1996). Nuevos Dasypodidae (Mammalia, Xenarthra) del Mioceno tardío del valle del Cajón, Catamarca, Argentina. *Ameghiniana*, 33(3): 327–334.
- Esteban, G. & Nasif, N. (1999). Mamíferos fósiles de la Formación Chiquimil. *Ameghiniana*, 36 suplemento: 11R.
- Esteban, G.; Nasif, N. & Georgieff, S.M. (2014). Crono-bioestratigrafía del Mioceno tardío – Plioceno temprano, Puerta de Corral Quemado y Villavil, provincia de Catamarca, Argentina. *Acta geológica lilloana*, 26(2): 165–192.
- Fauqué, L. & Caminos, R. (2006). Hoja Geológica 2969-II Tinogasta. Provincia de La Rioja, Catamarca y San Juan. Boletín N° 276. Programa Nacional de Cartas Geológicas de la República Argentina. Segemar, pp: 140.
- Gray, J.E. (1821). On the natural Arrangement of Vertebrate Animals. *London Medical Repository Review*, 15: 296–310.
- Guérin, C. (1991). La fauna des vertebres du Pléistocène supérieur de l'aire archéologique de São Raimundo Nonato (Piauí, Brésil). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris*, 312: 567–572.
- Hoffstetter, R. (1952). Les Mammifères Pléistocènes de la République de l'Équateur. *Mémoires de la Société Géologique de France*, NS 31: 1–391.
- Irigoyen, M.V.; Buchan, K.L. & Brown, R.L. (2000). Magnetostratigraphy of Neogene Andean foreland-basin strata, lat 33°S, Mendoza Province, Argentina. *GSA Bulletin*, 112(6): 803–816. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(2000\)112<803:MONAFS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(2000)112<803:MONAFS>2.0.CO;2)
- Jordan, T.E. & Gardeweg, M. (1989). Tectonic evolution of the late Cenozoic Central Andes. En: *Mesozoic and Cenozoic Evolution of the Pacific Margin* (Z. Ben-Avraham ed.). Oxford University Press, New York, USA, 139–207.
- Luna, C.A. & Cruz, L.E. (2014). Los Mamíferos Fósiles del Pleistoceno Tardío-Holoceno Temprano del Sudeste de La Provincia De Córdoba y sus Implicancias Paleoambientales para el centro de Argentina. *Revista Brasileira de Paleontologia*, 7(1): 69–82.
- Lund, P.W. (1840). Nouvelles Recherches sur la Faune Fossile du Brésil. *Annales des Sciences Naturelles*, 13: 310–319.
- Machado, H.; Grillo, O.; Scott, E. & L. Avilla (2017). Following the Footsteps of the South American *Equus*: Are Autopodia Taxonomically Informative? *Journal Mammal Evolution*, 1(3): 1–11.
- MacFadden, B.J. (2013). Dispersal of Pleistocene *Equus* (Family Equidae) into South America and Calibration of GABI 3 Based on Evidence from Tarija, Bolivia. *PlosOne*, 8(3): e59277. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059277>
- MacFadden, B.J.; Siles, O.; Zeitler, P.; Johnson, N.M. & Campbell, K.E. (1983). Magnetic Polarity Stratigraphy of the Middle Pleistocene (Ensenaden) Tarija Formation of Southern Bolivia. *Quaternary Research*, 19: 172–187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894\(83\)90003-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(83)90003-0)
- Marshall, L.G. & Patterson, B. (1981). Geology and geochronology of the mammal-bearing Tertiary of the Valle de Santa María and Río Corral Quemado, Catamarca Province, Argentina. *Fieldiana Geology*, 9: 1–80.
- Martínez, G. (2014). Contributions to the knowledge of natural history and archaeology of hunter-gatherers of Antofagasta de la Sierra (Argentine South Puna): the case of Peñas de las Trampas 1.1. En: *Hunter-Gatherers from a High-Elevation Desert: People of the Salt Puna, Northwest Argentina* (E. Pintar ed.). BAR International Series 2641: 71–93.
- Martínez, G.; Aschero, C.A.; Powell, J.E. & Rodríguez, M.F. (2004). First Evidence of Extinct Megafauna in the Southern Argentinian Puna. *CRP Paleoenvironments: Vertebrates*, 21: 104–107.
- Martínez, G.; Aschero, C.A.; Powell, J.E. & Tchilinguirian, P. (2007). A Gap Between Extinct Pleistocene Megafaunal Remains and Holocene Burial Contexts at Archaeological Sites in the Southern Argentinian Puna. *CRP Archaeology: Latin America*, 24: 60–62.
- Martínez, G.; Powell, J.E. & Rodríguez, M.F. (2010). Dung Analysis and its Correlation with Three Different Species of Extinct Megafauna in the Southern Argentinian Puna. *CRP Paleontology*, 27: 176–179.
- O'Dea, A.; Lessios, H.A.; Coates, A.G.; Eytan, R.I.; Restrepo-Moreno, S.A.; Cione, A.L.; Collins, L.S.; de Queiroz, A.; Farris, D.W.; Norris, R.D.; Stallard, R.F.; Woodburne, M.O.; Aguilera, O.; Aubry, M-P.; Berggren, W.A.; Budd, A.F.; Cozzuol, M.A.; Cop-pard, S.E.; Duque-Caro, H.; Finnegan, S.; Gasparini, G.M.; Grossman, E.L.; Johnson, K.G.; Keigwin, L.D.; Knowlton, N.; Leigh, E.G.; Leonard-Pingel, J.S.; Marko, P.B.; Pyenson, N.D.; Rachello-Dolmen, P.G.; Soibelzon, E.; Soibelzon, L.H.; Todd, J.A.; Vermeij, G.J. & Jackson, J.B.C. (2017). Building bridges. Response to Erkens and Hoorn: "The Panama Isthmus, 'old', 'young' or both?". *Science Advances*, eLetters, 2(8): e1600883. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.1600883 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600883>
- Orlando, L.; Metcal, J.L.; Alberdi, M.T.; Telles-Antunes, M.; Bonjean, D.; Otte, M.; Martin, F.; Eisenmann, V.; Mashkour, M.; Morello, F.; Prado, J.L.; Salas-Gismondi, R.; Shockey, B.J.; Wrinn, P.J.; Vasil'ev, S.K.; Ovodov, N.D.; Cherry, M.I.; Hopwood, B.; Male, D.; Austin, J.J.; Hänni, C. & Cooper, A. (2009). Revising the recent evolutionary history of equids using ancient DNA. *Proceeding of the National*

- Akademy of Sciences, USA, 106: 21754–21759. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903672106>
- Owen, R. (1840). The Zoology of the voyage of H.M.S. Beagle under the Command of Captain Fitzroy R.N. during the years 1832 to 1836. Part I. Fossil Mammalia, Ed Superv C. Darwin, p 81–111.
- Owen, R. (1848). Description of teeth and portions of jaws of two extinct anthracotherioid quadrupeds (*Hyopotamus vectianus* and *Hyop. bovinus*) discovered by the Marchioness of Hastings in the Eocene deposits on the NW coast of the Isle of Wight: with an attempt to develop Cuvier's idea of the classification of pachyderms by the number of their toes. Quarterly Journal of Geological Society, London, 4: 103–141. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.JGS.1848.004.01-02.21>
- Pascual, R.; Ortega Hinojosa, E.J.; Gondar, D. & Tonni, E.P. (1965). Las edades del Cenozoico mamífero de Argentina con especial atención a aquellas del territorio bonaerense. Anales de la Comisión de Investigación Científica, 6: 165–193.
- Pascual, R.; Ortiz Jaureguizar, E. & Prado, J.L. (1996). Land mammals: paradigm of Cenozoic South American geobiotic evolution. En: Contribution of Southern South America to vertebrate paleontology (G. Arratia ed.). Müncher Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen (A) 39: 265–319.
- Paula Couto, C. (1979). Tratado de Paleomastozoología. 1.ed. Rio de Janeiro: Academia Brasileira de Ciências, pp: 590.
- Pinotti, L.; Dimieri, L.; Fagiano, M & García, V. (2010). Tectónica de las sierras pampeanas. Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina, 67: 423–424.
- Porta, J. de (1960). Los Equidos fósiles de la Sabana de Bogotá. Boletín de Geología, Universidad Industrial de Santander, Colombia, 4: 51–78.
- Prado, J.L. (1984). Fenética de los metatarsianos de taxa fósiles *Hippidion* Owen, *Onohippidium* Moreno, *Parahipparion* C. Ameghino y *Equus (Amerhippus)* Linne (Mammalia, Perissodactyla). CIPFE Orión Contribuciones en Biología, Montevideo, 11: 11–15.
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (1994). A Quantitative Review of the horse *Equus* from South America. Palaeontology, 37: 459–481.
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (1996). A Cladistic Analysis of the Horses of the Tribe Equini. Palaeontology, 39: 663–680.
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (2008). Restos de *Hippidion* y *Equus (Amerhippus)* procedentes de las Barrancas de San Lorenzo, Pleistoceno tardío (Provincia de Santa Fé, Argentina). Revista Española de Paleontología, 23: 225–236.
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (2012). Equidos y gonfoterios del Pleistoceno tardío de San Pedro, provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina. Estudios Geológicos, 68: 261–276. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.40422.143>
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (2014). Global evolution of Equidae and Gomphotheriidae from South America. Integrative Zoologica, 9: 434–443. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12064>
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (2016). Fossil Horses from Argentina. En: F.L. Agnolin, G.L. Lio, F. Brissón Egli, N. Chimento y F.E. Novas eds.). Historia Evolutiva y Paleobiogeográfica de los Vertebrados de América del Sur. Contribuciones Científicas del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales “Bernardino Rivadavia”, 6: 303–309.
- Prado, J.L. & Alberdi, M.T. (2017). Fossil Horses of South America. Phylogeny, Systematics and Ecology. The Latin American Studies Book Series, Springer, 150 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55877-6>
- Prado, J.L.; Alberdi, M.T. & Reguero, M.A. (1998). El Registro más antiguo de *Hippidion* Owen, 1869 (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) en América del Sur. Estudios Geológicos, 54: 85–91. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.98541-2207>
- Prado, J.L.; Alberdi, M.T. & Reguero, M.A. (2000). Comentarios sobre la Geocronología, Estratigrafía y Paleontología de Vertebrados de la Fm. Uquía en el perfil de Esquina Blanca, Jujuy. Respuesta a E.P. Tonni y A.L. Cione. Estudios Geológicos, 56: 133–137.
- Prado, J.L.; Alberdi, M.T.; Martínez, G. & Gutiérrez, M.A. (2005). *Equus (Amerhippus) neogeus* LUND, 1840 (Equidae, Perissodactyla) at Paso Otero 5 site (Argentina): Its implications for the extinction of South America horse. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte, 2005(8): 449–468.
- Prado, J.L.; Menegaz, A.N.; Tonni, E.P. & Salemme, M.C. (1987). Los Mamíferos de la Fauna Local Paso Otero (Pleistoceno Tardío), Provincia de Buenos Aires. Aspectos Paleoambientales y Bioestratigráficos. Ameghiniana, 24: 217–233.
- Prado, J.L.; Sánchez, B. & Alberdi, M.T. (2011). Ancient feeding ecology inferred from stable isotopic evidence from fossil horses in South America over the past 3 Ma. BMC Ecology, 11: 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-11-15>
- Prado, J.L.; Bonini, R.; Alberdi, M.T.; Scanferla, A., Pomi, L.H. & Fucks, E. (2013a). Nuevos registros de *Hippidion* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) en el Pleistoceno tardío de la provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina. Estudios Geológicos, 69: 239–253. <https://doi.org/10.3989/egeol.40991.224>
- Prado, J.L.; Alberdi, M.T., Reyes, M.L. de, Poiré, D.G., & Canalicchio, J.M. (2013 b). New material of *Equus (Amerhippus) neogeus* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from the late Pleistocene of Olavarría (Argentina). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen, 269(2): 125–134. <https://doi.org/10.1127/0077-7749/2013/0340>
- Prado, J.L.; Martínez-Maza, C. & Alberdi, M.T. (2015). Megafauna extinction in South America: A new chronology for the Argentine Pampas. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 425: 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.02.026>

- Ramos, V.A. (1970). Estratigrafía y estructura del Terciario en la sierra de los Colorados (provincia de La Rioja), República Argentina. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina*, 25: 359–382.
- Reguero, M. & Candela, A.M. (2011). Late Cenozoic mammals from the northwest of Argentina. En: *Cenozoic geology of the Central Andes of Argentina* (R. Salfity y M.R. Marquillas eds.). SCS Publishers, Salta, pp. 411–426.
- Reynolds, J.H.; Galli, C.I.; Hernández, R.M.; Idleman, B.D.; Kotila, J.M.; Hilliard, R.V. & Naeser, C.W. (2000). Middle Miocene tectonic development of the Transition Zone, Salta Province, northwest Argentina: Magnetic stratigraphy from the Metán Subgroup, Sierra de González. *GSA Bulletin*, 112(11): 16 pp.
- Riggs, E.S. & Patterson, B. (1939). Stratigraphy of Late Miocene and Pliocene deposits of the Province of Catamarca (Argentina) with notes on the faunae. *Physis*, 14: 143–162.
- Rincon, R.; Alberdi, M.T. & Prado, J.L. (2006). Nuevo registro de *Equus* (*Amerhippus*) *santaeelenae* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) del pozo de asfalto de Inciarte (Pleistoceno Superior), estado Zulia, Venezuela. *Ameghiniana*, 43(3): 529–538.
- Savage, D.E. (1962). Cenozoic geochronology of the fossil mammals of the western hemisphere. *Revista Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales “Bernardino Rivadavia”*, Ciencias Zoológicas, 8(4): 53–67.
- Simpson, G.G. (1971). Clasificación, terminología y nomenclatura provinciales para el Cenozoico mamífero. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina*, 26: 281–297.
- Tauber, A.A. (2005). Mamíferos fósiles y edad de la Formación Salicas (Mioceno Tardío) de la sierra de Velasco, La Rioja, Argentina. *Ameghiniana*, 42(2): 443–460.
- Toledo, M.J. (2017). Géochronologie de la transition Pléistocène-Holocène dans le nord-est pampéen (Buenos Aires, Argentina). *Révision historique, stratigraphique et taphonomique. Perspectives pour le peuplement pré-LGM*. BAR International series 2880, 1: 1–35.
- Toledo, M.J.; Schewnninger, J.-L.; Kinoshita, A.; Baffa, O. & Mangini, A. (2014). Dataciones OSL, ESR y U-Th del sitio Paleontológico Spósito (San Pedro, Prov. de Buenos Aires, Argentina). Registro de los OIS6/7 y OIS5. XIX Congreso Geológico Argentino, Junio 2014, Córdoba: S13–6.
- Tonni, E.P.; Carlini, A.A.; Scillato-Yané, G.J. & Figini, A.J. (2003). Cronología radiocarbónica y condiciones climáticas en la “Cueva del Milodón” (sur de Chile) durante el Pleistoceno tardío. *Ameghiniana*, 40(4): 609–615.
- Turner, J.C.M. (1964). Descripción geológica de la Hoja 15c-Vinchina, provincia de La Rioja. Dirección Nacional de Geología y Minería, Boletín 100.
- Tripaldi, A.; Net, L.; Limarino, C.; Marensi, S.; Re, G. & Caselli, A. (2001). Paleoambientes sedimentarios y procedencia de la Formación Vinchina, Mioceno, noroeste de la provincia de La Rioja. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina*, 56: 443–465.
- Ubilla, M. & Martínez, S. (2016). Geology and Paleontology of the Quaternary of Uruguay. *The Latin American Studies Book Series*, Springer, 77 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29303-5>
- Villavicencio, N.A.; Lindsey, E.L.; Martin, F.M.; Borrero, L.A.; Moreno, P.I.; Marshall, C.R. & Barnosky, A.D. (2016). Combination of humans, climate, and vegetation change triggered Late Quaternary megafauna extinction in the Última Esperanza region, southern Patagonia, Chile. *Ecography*, 39(2): 125–140. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01606>
- Wagner, A. (1860). Ueber fossile Säugetierknochen am Chimborasso. *Sitzungsberichte der königlich bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu München*: 330–338.